



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450  
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/723,635	11/26/2003	Michael A. Sturba	16137	9717

4859 7590 02/14/2006

MACMILLAN SOBANSKI & TODD, LLC  
ONE MARITIME PLAZA FOURTH FLOOR  
720 WATER STREET  
TOLEDO, OH 43604-1619

EXAMINER

DAVIS, CASSANDRA HOPE

ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
----------	--------------

3611

DATE MAILED: 02/14/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

**Office Action Summary**

Application No.

10/723,635

Applicant(s)

STURBA ET AL.

Examiner

Cassandra Davis

Art Unit

3611

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

**Period for Reply**

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

**Status**

- 1) ☒ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 07 November 2005.
- 2a) ☐ This action is **FINAL**. 2b) ☒ This action is non-final.
- 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

**Disposition of Claims**

- 4) ☒ Claim(s) 1-3, 5-11 and 14-21 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) ☒ Claim(s) 9-20 is/are allowed.
- 6) ☒ Claim(s) 1-3 and 5 is/are rejected.
- 7) ☒ Claim(s) 6-11 and 21 is/are objected to.
- 8) ☐ Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

**Application Papers**

- 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on \_\_\_\_\_ is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.  
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
- 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

**Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119**

- 12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
- a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some \* c) ☐ None of:
1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
  2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. \_\_\_\_\_.
  3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

\* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

**Attachment(s)**

- 1) ☒ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)
- 2) ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)
- 3) ☒ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)  
Paper No(s)/Mail Date \_\_\_\_\_.

- 4) ☐ Interview Summary (PTO-413)  
Paper No(s)/Mail Date. \_\_\_\_\_.
- 5) ☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)
- 6) ☐ Other: \_\_\_\_\_.

## DETAILED ACTION

### *Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103*

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negated by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1-3 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Chaney in view of Patterson, U. S. Patent 4,084,015.

Chaney teaches a sheet of stock for forming an item holder comprising: a planar blank portion made of cardboard material. The planar blank portion including a front portion 1, a rear portion 2 attached to said front portion 1 at a first score line 3, a connector portion 6' attached to said rear portion 2 at a second score line 8, and a holder portion 7 attached to said connector portion 6' at a third score line 9', whereby said front portion 1 and said rear portion 2 can be folded relative to each other along said first score line 3, said rear portion 2 and said connector portion 6' can be folded relative to each other along said second score line 8, and said connector portion 6' and said holder portion 7 can be folded relative to each other along said third score line 9' to form an item holder having a holder

pocket (figure 5 and 6) for receiving at least one item. Chaney also teaches a pair of support portions 17, 17' formed in the rear portion 2, wherein each support portion being partially separable from the rear portion 2 at a die cut line 18 and 18'.

Chaney does not teach specifically the blank portion being detachably attached to a carrier portion. Although it is inherent that the blank taught by Chaney is cut from a carrier sheet. Patterson is cited to show a cage ornament card comprising a panel 11 with a foldable ornament blank 17 detachably attached to the panel 11, wherein the panel 11 corresponds to the claimed carrier portion and to ornament corresponds to the claim blank portion.

It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time this invention was made to removably attached the blank taught by Chaney from a carrier sheet as taught by Patterson to provide a means to create the specific shaped blank need for the foldable holder.

With respect to claim 2, the stand taught by Chaney is made of a cardboard material. See lines 8-10. It is know to print indicia on cardboard.

With respect to claim 3, the stand taught by Chaney forms a pocket or tray, seen in figures 4-7. It would have been obvious to one having

ordinary skill in the art to size the tray to hold business cards to provide a means to hold and display cards.

Claims 1, 2, 3, and 5 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Moore, U. S. Patent 2,515,887 in view Chaney and Patterson.

Moore teaches a sheet of stock for forming an item holder comprising: a planar blank portion including a front portion 24 (47, 48), a rear portion 22, 23 attached to said front portion at a first score line 23', a connector portion 21 attached to said rear portion at a second score line 21', and a holder portion 20 attached to said connector portion 21 at a third score line 20', whereby said front portion and said rear portion can be folded relative to each other along said first score line 23', said rear portion and said connector portion can be folded relative to each other along said second score line 21', and said connector portion and said holder portion can be folded relative to each other along said third score line to form an item holder having a holder pocket for receiving at least one item. Moore also teaches a support portion 53 extending from the rear portion.

Moore does not teach specifically the blank portion being detachably attached to a carrier portion. In addition, Moore does not teach the pair of supports.

Although it is inherent that the blank taught by Moore is cut from a carrier sheet, Patterson is cited to show a cage ornament card comprising a panel 11 with a foldable ornament blank 17 detachably attached to the panel 11, wherein the panel 11 corresponds to the claimed carrier portion and to ornament corresponds to the claim blank portion.

It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time this invention was made to removably attached the blank taught by Moore from a carrier sheet as taught by Patterson to provide a means to create the specific shaped blank need for the foldable holder.

In addition, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time this invention was made to construct the support stand taught by Moore comprising a pair of support portions as taught by Chaney to provide a more sturdy support structure.

With respect to claim 2, Moore also teaches label or name panel 47 man have the name of the articles, the selling price, the manufacture's

name or the selling price, the manufacture's name printed thereon. Column 3, line 24-28

With respect to claim 3, the box taught by Moore is size to hold business cards.

With respect to claim 5, Moore teaches a pair wing 39 and 40 extending from the rear portion.

***Allowable Subject Matter***

Claims 6-8 and 21 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Claims 9-11 and 14-20 are allowed.


***Response to Arguments***

Applicant's arguments with respect to claim 1 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Cassandra Davis whose telephone number is 571-272-6642. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday 8:00-4:30.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Lesley Morris can be reached on 571-272-6651. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

  
Cassandra Davis  
Primary Examiner  
Art Unit 3611

CD  
January 19, 2006